

It may be "a sensible thing" to treat, for instance, that parasitical disease known as scabies, or *itch*, on the *dynamic theory*. I, for one, wish myself separated by such a line of demarkation from those who so believe that there can be no mistake.

"Think of it and blush, ye followers of Hahnemann," when such antiquated and absurd views are held up as *modern medicine*! Think again of the ridicule that will be brought upon you by medical men conversant with the nature of this disease. Think of looking up the indicated remedy based on the symptoms of the case, where the disease is on and in the skin in the form of *microscopical animal life*. Rather go back to the crudeness and cleanness of your forefathers, using *sulphur* and *scap* to destroy and free the skin from the parasites.

The Doctor states "There can be no law of therapeutics unless it were universal."  
We have a *regular method of testing drugs*, but each remedy is governed by a law peculiar to itself. Have we a remedy similar to *iron*, a parasitical and filthy disease?  
We undoubtedly have the *best system of therapeutics*. Remedies alone, however, internally administered, will not cure all of the various derangements affecting the animal structure.

In closing, I offer the query: Is not a physician who uses a remedy when *indicated*, but not without removing the cause of the diseases, as good and even a more faithful follower of Hahnemann than one who gropes entirely amongst the limbs of the tree of symptomatology to the *after* and *unconscious* neglect of the scientific facts relative to that disease? The latter will "never be mirrored" from the better element of the homoeopathic school.

[We claimed in our former note, commenting upon Dr. Terry, that he was "utterly and unconsciously ignorant of Homoeopathy," which is amply proven by the paper herewith given. We need not comment upon this second paper, for to all who know anything of Homoeopathy it tells plainly its own tale of mongrelism.—F. J. L.]

CORTLAND, N. Y., May 27th, 1886.  
EDITORS HOMOEOPATHIC PHYSICIAN.

GENTLEMEN:—I have just read the article of M. O. Terry, M. D.,\* and your reply to it. I wish to give you a specimen of

\* HOMOEOPATHIC PHYSICIAN, Mar., 1886, page 178.

this wonderful physician's practice. Two years ago he read a paper in the New York State Society at Burlington on the treatment of carbuncle. It was to inject into the carbuncle in different directions *Kerbolic acid*. His chief and most effective remedy for spinal neurasthenia is the iron-cantary. I suppose he did it on the ground of his being one of those homoeopathic (?) physicians who have the largest patronage, and who are the growing scientific (?) men of our school in this and other States, "that use various auxiliary measures in practice, and avail themselves of all that modern science has to offer." I suppose these are some of his boasted *auxiliary measures*. I remember when some one questioned the homoeopathy of these I remember very little to say in defense of them along that line. The fact is, so far as my observation goes, that these sticklers for all sorts of auxiliaries, adjuncts, etc., generally know much more of them than they do of *Homoeopathy*.

That *bre. . .* *brein* about pneumonia is all lost, because, according to Allen, the *clinical test* does not prove anything, you know. The microscope, spectroscope, or instruments of that ilk must decide all that.

E. B. NASH, M. D.

PROGRESSIVELY AGGRESSIVE.

AD. IRPPE, M. D., PHILADELPHIA.

In the front ranks of the progressive homoeopaths, who have progressed backward till even sensible colotics despise them, and who in all quarters are exposed to the attacks of the regulars, stands H. R. Arndt, M. D., one of the professors at the University of Michigan, who, by some trickery or as a joke, was charged by the Regents of the University with teaching Homoeopathy. He is now out with a circular addressed "To the Profession." As the progressivists—a piratical set sailing under false colors, and now exposed to public execration by the regulars as preaching one thing and practicing another—have inaugurated the means of defending their law-defying attitude by "boycotting," we really are thankful to Dr. H. R. Arndt for boycotting some homoeopaths by not favoring them with a copy of his circular. Go on in that way—thank you! Could this progressivist not find a medical journal willing to print his attempted defense? Guess not. In passing let us say that this progressivist is the author of a huge work on practice, an opus neither

45

flesh nor fish, more like the fabulous sea-serpent, a monstrous abortion, begotten by an attempt to produce a cross between low allopathy and vile eclecticism, under the pretense of belonging to the homoeopathic school, and published by that popularity and money seeking Hahnemann Publishing Society. In his circular he finds fault with Dr. H. C. Allen for exposing him in the *Medical Advance*. His defense shows what sort of a gentleman and scholar he is.

The exposé complained of is that Professor H. R. Arndt asked the senior class as his first question on examination "Give the composition of twenty-five grains of Dover's powder; Give the *opium* represented by one and a half grains of Morphine; Give the learned professor and author tells the profession (of course) that boy-cooted old fogies are exempt) that he will continue to teach Homoeopathy as he understands it. When he asked the senior class the above questions he confessed that he does not understand Homoeopathy as it was taught by its founder, Samuel Hahnemann, and because exposed by Dr. H. C. Allen he follows in the footsteps of the Progressivists and claims the right to teach what he pleases under the name of Homoeopathy. If any genuine Hahnemannian exposes him he means to defend his right to call his eclecticism Homoeopathy; to teach this so-called *documentary* evidence furnished by himself that he is ignorant of the principles governing our noble healing art, and that he has not the remotest conception of logic, etc. As to Dr. Arndt's logic, he defends himself by a statement that he has detected some professed homoeopaths in the use of palliative allopathic means. If he did really detect professed homoeopaths, many of whom are members of the International Hahnemannian Association, no doubt, let us have their names and his proof. That professing homoeopaths, including Dr. Arndt, preach one thing and practice another thing has been exposed *ad nauseam* by the allopathists who spurn the wretches who put on the livery of heaven to serve the devil in.

If Dr. Arndt's detective work proved itself to be true, does Dr. A. not know that two wrongs never make a right? Will he cure these unfortunate hypocrites by instructing them how to use allopathic practice and escape detection? Here is a special men of Dr. Arndt's logic, and we charge him under strictly logical deductions with favoring and teaching just such miserable hypocrisy: Profess to be homoeopaths, he says in effect to the students, and then do as I and the like of me do, practice just

as you please and claim it to be advanced Homoeopathy; follow Richard Hughes. The latter, by his own confession, has taken into his confidence Dr. Arndt, who furnishes material from the sources for the last great opus, the *Encyclopedia*, rejecting the provings of Hahnemann's assistants. According to Richard Hughes' prophecy and unadorned caricature will be the *Medicine of the future*. Why? Because the present generation declines to accept the fraud. It is printed at the expense of the American Institute of Homoeopathy, and the future will find the opus unsold. Like Hempel's *Organon*, it will progress backward into the paper mill.

On the 8th of June, 1870, the American Institute of Homoeopathy was told "that perfect liberty would the sooner bring knowledge of the truth." The tree of freedom-of-medical-opinion was planted then and there. The knowledge of the truth is brought to us rarely, and in 1886 Dr. Arndt, who has enjoyed perfect liberty under that ruling, brings us to the knowledge of the truth by making us understand that any criticism of his work will make this progressivist on the freedom license become aggressive and ugly. Still, we have the knowledge of the truth, and believe that perfect liberty will soon bring knowledge of the truth to others. Give them freedom, give them rope enough, and they will appeal to the profession, and hang themselves to escape the verdict of the allopathic school and the homoeopaths combined.

#### A NEW SYMPTOM OF MANGANUM.

F. W. FERRIDGE, M. D., LONDON.

The following report is extracted from a paper by Dr. John Cowper in the *British Annals of Medicine*, 1837, vol. I, p. 41. The peculiar form of paralysis produced by *Manganum* is of great importance, as I can find no other remedy producing it. It is not often met with in practice, but I remember seeing a case about thirty years ago.

In the chemical works of Messrs. Charles Tennant & Company, near Glasgow, a number of men are employed in printing the *Black Oxide of Manganese*. Their bodies become covered with it, the air is loaded with it, and they may swallow it in their food. In 1828 a previously healthy young man engaged in this occupation had signs of palsy. The loss of power in the lower limbs was so slight at first that, though perceptible to bystanders, it was scarcely observed and never made the